

# AMPLITUDE DOMAIN-FREQUENCY REGRESSION

Francisco Parra

November 12, 2014

## **Introduction**

The time series can be seen from an amplitude-time domain or an amplitude-frequency domain. The amplitude-frequency domain are used to analyze properties of filters used to decompose a time series into a trend, seasonal and irregular component investigating the gain function to examine the effect of a filter at a given frequency on the amplitude of a cycle for a particular time series. The ability to decompose data series into different frequencies for separate analysis and later recomposition is the first fundamental concept in the use of spectral techniques in forecasting, such as regression spectrum band, have had little development in econometric work. The low diffusion of this technique has been associated with the computing difficulties caused the need to work with complex numbers, and inverse Fourier transform in order to convert everything back into real terms. But the problems from the use of the complex Fourier transform may be circumvented by carrying out the Fourier transform of the data in real terms, pre-multiplied the time series by the orthogonal matrix  $Z$  whose elements are defined in Harvey (1978).

The spectral analysis commences with the assumption that any series can be transformed into a set of sine and cosine waves, and can be used to both identify and quantify apparently nonperiodic short and long cycle processes (first section). In Band spectrum regression (second section), is a brief summary of the regression of the frequency domain (Engle, 1974) The application of spectral analysis to data containing both seasonal (high frequency) and non-seasonal (low frequency) components may produce advantages, since these different frequencies can be modelled separately and then may be re-combined to produce fitted values. Durbin (1967 and 1969) desing a technique for studying the general nature of the serial dependence in a satacionary time series, that can be use to statistic contraste in This type of exercises (third section). The time-varying regression, or the regression whit the vector of parameters time.varying can be understood in this context (four section).

## **Spectral analysis**

Nerlove (1964) and Granger (1969) were the two foremost researchers on the application of spectral techniques to economic time series.

The use of spectral analysis requires a change of focus from an amplitude-time domain to an amplitude-frequency domain. Thus spectral analysis commences with the assumption that any series,  $X_t$ , can be transformed into a set of sine and cosine waves such as:

$$X_t = \eta + \sum_{j=1}^N [a_j \cos(2\pi \frac{ft}{n}) + b_j \sin(2\pi \frac{ft}{n})] \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta$  is the mean of the series,  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  are the amplitude,  $f$  is the frequency over a span of  $n$  observations,  $t$  is a time index ranging from 1 to  $N$  where  $N$  is the number of periods for which we have observations, the fraction  $(ft/n)$  for different values of  $t$  converts the discrete time scale of time series into a proportion of 2 and  $j$  ranges from 1 to  $n$  where  $n = N/2$ . The highest observable frequency in the series is  $n/N$  (i.e., 0.5 cycles per time interval). High frequency dynamics (large  $f$ ) are akin to short cycle processes while low frequency dynamics (small  $f$ ) may be likened to long cycle processes. If we let  $\frac{ft}{n} = w$  then equation (1) can be re-written more compactly as:

$$X_t = \eta + \sum_{j=1}^N [a_j \cos(\omega_j) + b_j \sin(\omega_j)] \quad (2)$$

Spectral analysis can be used to both identify and quantify apparently non-periodic short and long cycle processes. A given series  $X_t$  may contain many cycles of different frequencies and amplitudes and such combinations of frequencies and amplitudes may yield cyclical patterns which appear non-periodic with irregular amplitude. In fact, in such a time series it is clear from equation (2) that each observation can be broken down into component parts of different length cycles which, when added together (along with an error term), comprise the observation (Wilson and Perry, 2004).

The overall effect of the Fourier analysis of  $N$  observation to a time date is to partition the variability of the series into components at frequencies  $\frac{2\pi}{N}, \frac{4\pi}{N}, \dots, \pi$ . The component at frequency  $\omega_p = \frac{2\pi p}{N}$  is called the  $p$ th harmonic. For  $p \neq \frac{N}{2}$ , the equivalent form to write the  $p$ th harmonic are:

$$a_p \cos \omega_p t + b_p \sin \omega_p t = R_p \cos(\omega_p t + \phi_p)$$

where  $R_p = \sqrt{a_p^2 + b_p^2}$  and  $\phi_p = \tan^{-1}(\frac{-b_p}{a_p})$

The plot of  $I(\omega) = \frac{NR_p^2}{4\pi}$  against  $\omega$  is called the periodogram of time data. Trend will produce a peak at zero frequency, while seasonal variations produces peaks at the seasonal frequency and at integer multiples of the seasonal frequency. Then, when a periodogram has a large peak at some frequency  $\omega$  then related peaks may occur at  $2\omega, 3\omega, \dots$  (Chaftiel, C, 2004)

### **Band spectrum regression**

Hannan (1963) first proposed regression analysis in the frequency domain, later examining the use of this technique in estimating distributed lag models (Hannan, 1965, 1967). Engle (1974) demonstrated that regression in the frequency domain has certain advantages over regression in the time domain. Consider the linear regression model

$$y = X\beta + u \quad (3)$$

where  $X$  is an  $n \times k$  matrix of fixed observations on the independent variables,  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector of parameters,  $y$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of observations on the dependent variable, and  $u$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of disturbance terms each with zero mean and constant variance,  $\sigma^2$ .

The model may be expressed in terms of frequencies by applying a finite Fourier transform to the dependent and independent variables. For Harvey (1978) there are a number of reasons for doing this. One is to permit the application of the technique known as 'band spectrum regression', in which regression is carried out in the frequency domain with certain wavelengths omitted. Another reason for interest in spectral regression is that if the disturbances in (3) are serially correlated, being generated by any stationary stochastic process, then regression in the frequency domain will yield an asymptotically efficient estimator of  $\beta$ .

Engle (1974) compute the full spectrum regression with the complex finite Fourier transform based on the  $n \times n$  matrix  $W$ , in which element  $(t, s)$  is given by

$$w_{ts} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{i\lambda_t s}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_t = 2\pi \frac{t}{n}, \quad t=0, 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ and } i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Pre-multiplying the observations in (3) by  $W$  yields

$$\dot{y} = \dot{X}\beta + \dot{u} \quad (4)$$

where  $\dot{y} = Wy$ ,  $\dot{X} = WX$ , and  $\dot{u} = Wu$ .

If the disturbance vector in (4) obeys the classical assumptions, viz.  $E[u] = 0$  and  $E[uu'] = \sigma^2 I_n$ , then the transformed disturbance vector,  $\dot{u}$ , will have identical properties. This follows because the matrix  $W$  is unitary, i.e.,  $WW^T = I$ , where  $W^T$  is the transpose of the complex conjugate of  $W$ . Furthermore the observations in (4) contain precisely the same amount of information as the untransformed observations in (3).

Application of OLS to (4) yields, in view of the properties of  $\dot{u}$ , the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\beta$ . This estimator is identical to the OLS estimator in (3), a result which follows directly on taking account of the unitary property of  $W$ . When the relationship implied by (4) is only assumed to hold for certain frequencies, band spectrum regression is appropriate, and this may be carried out by omitting the observations in (4) corresponding to the remaining frequencies. Since the variables in (4) are complex, however, Engle (1974) suggests an inverse Fourier transform in order to convert everything back into real terms (Harvey, 1974).

The problems which arise from the use of the complex Fourier transform may be circumvented by carrying out the Fourier transform of the data in real terms.

In order to do this the observations in (3) are pre-multiplied by the orthogonal matrix  $Z$  whose elements are defined as follows (Harvey,1978):

$$z_{ts} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} & t = 1 \\ \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \left[ \frac{\pi t(s-1)}{n} \right] & t = 2, 4, 6, \dots, (n-2) \text{ or } (n-1) \\ \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi(t-1)(s-1)}{T} \right] & t = 3, 5, 7, \dots, (n-1) \text{ or } n \\ \left(n\right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} (-1)^{s+1} & t = n \text{ if } n \text{ is even, } s = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

The resulting frequency domain regression model is:

$$y^{**} = X^{**}\beta + v \quad (5)$$

where  $y^{**} = Zy, X^{**} = ZX$  and  $v = Zu$ .

In view of the orthogonality of  $Z$ ,  $E[vv'] = \sigma^2 I_n$  when  $E[uu'] = \sigma^2 I_n$  and the application of OLS to (5) gives the BLUE of  $\beta$ .

Since all the elements of  $y^{**}$  and  $X^{**}$  are real, model may be treated by a standard regression package. If band spectrum regression is to be carried out, the number of rows in  $y^{**}$  and  $X^{**}$  is reduced accordingly, and so no problems arise from the use of an inappropriate number of degrees of freedom.

#### **Amplitude domain-frequency regression**

Consider now the linear regression model

$$y_t = \beta_t x_t + u_t \quad (6)$$

where  $x_t$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of fixed observations on the independent variable,  $\beta_t$  is a  $n \times 1$  vector of parameters,  $y$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of observations on the dependent variable, and  $u_t$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector de errores distribuidos con media cero y varianza constante.

Whit the assumption that any series,  $y_t, x_t, \beta_t$  and  $u_t$ , can be transformed into a set of sine and cosine waves such as:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \eta^y + \sum_{j=1}^N [a_j^y \cos(\omega_j) + b_j^y \sin(\omega_j)] \\ x_t &= \eta^x + \sum_{j=1}^N [a_j^x \cos(\omega_j) + b_j^x \sin(\omega_j)] \\ \beta_t &= \eta^\beta + \sum_{j=1}^N [a_j^\beta \cos(\omega_j) + b_j^\beta \sin(\omega_j)] \end{aligned}$$

Pre-multiplying (6) by  $Z$ :

$$\dot{y} = \dot{x}\dot{\beta} + \dot{u}$$

(7)

where  $\dot{y} = Zy, \dot{x} = Zx, \dot{\beta} = Z\beta, \dot{u} = Zu$   
The system (7) can be rewritten as (see appendix):

$$\dot{y} = Zx_t I_n Z^T \dot{\beta} + Z I_n Z^T \dot{u} \quad (8)$$

If we call  $\dot{e} = Z I_n Z^T \dot{u}$ , It can be found the  $\dot{\beta}$  that minimize the sum of squared errors  $E_T = Z^T \dot{e}$ .

Once you have found the solution to this optimization, the series would be transformed into the time domain.

### Seasonal Decomposition by the Fourier Coefficients

The amplitude domain-frequency regression method could be use to decompose a time series into seasonal, trend and irregular components of a time serie  $y_t$  of frequency  $b$  or number of times in each unit time interval. For example, one could use a value of 7 for frequency when the data are sampled daily, and the natural time period is a week, or 4 and 12 when the data are sampled quarterly and monthly and the natural time period is a year.

If the observation are taken at equal interval of length,  $\Delta t$ , then the angular frequency is  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta t}$ . The equivalent frequency expressed in cycles per unit time is  $f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{\Delta t}$ . Whit only one observation per year,  $\omega = \pi$  radians per year or  $f = \frac{1}{2}$  cycle per year (1 cycle per two years), variation whit a wavelength of one year has frequency  $\omega = 2\pi$  radians per year or  $f = 1$  cycle per year.

For example, in a monthly time serie of  $N = 100$  observation, the seasonal cycles or the wavelength of one year has frequency  $f = \frac{100}{12} = 8,33$  cycles for 100 dates. If the time serie are 8 full year, the less seasonal frequency are 1 cycle for year, or 8 cycle for 96 observation. The integer multiplies are  $2\frac{N}{12}, 3\frac{N}{12}, \dots$ , and wavelength low of one year has frequency are  $f < \frac{N}{12}$ .

We can use (8) to estimate the fourier coefficient in time serie  $y_t$ :

$$\dot{y} = Zt I_n Z^T \dot{\beta} + Z I_n Z^T \dot{u} \quad (9)$$

being  $t = (1, 1, \dots, 1)_N$  or  $t = (1, 2, 3, \dots, N)_N$ .  
If  $t = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)_N$ ,

$$A = Zt I_n Z^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & . & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are use in (9) to make the regression band spectrum with the first four coefficient of fourier of the serie  $\dot{y}$ .

The first  $2\frac{N}{12}-1$  rows the A matrix are used to estimate the fourier coefficients corresponding to cycles of low frequency, trend cycles, and rows  $2\frac{N}{12}$  and  $2\frac{N}{12}+1$  are used to estimate the fourier coefficients of 1 cycle for year. The integer multiplies re the rows  $6\frac{N}{12}$ ,  $6\frac{N}{12}+1$ ,  $8\frac{N}{12}$ ...should be used to obtain the seasonal frequency.

#### **Example:descomponse by amplitude domain-frequency regression. IPI base 2009 in Cantabria**

The Industrial Price Index of Cantabria is presented in the table below

The time serie by trend an seasonal is named *TDST*. *TD* is calculate by band spectrum regresion of the serie  $y_t$  and the temporal index  $t$ , in which regression is carried out in low amplitude- frequency. The seasonal serie *ST* result to take away *TD* to *TDST*, and the irregular serie *IR* result to take away *TDST* to  $y_t$  (figure 8). The temporal index  $t$  used in the exemple are the OLS regression into IPI and the trend index  $t = (1, 2, 3, ..., N)_N$ . The new data fitted are 6 months.

```
> library(descomponer)
> data(ipi)
> descomponer(ipi,12,1,6)
```

	\$data					
	y	TDST	TD	ST	IR	
1	90.2	98.10295	97.30978	0.79317267	-7.9029508	
2	98.8	98.26294	97.35310	0.90984094	0.5370632	
3	92.1	100.89796	97.44418	3.45377641	-8.7979604	
4	102.7	90.39611	97.57019	-7.17408525	12.3038921	
5	107.0	104.21293	97.71583	6.49709735	2.7870737	
6	98.3	104.65220	97.86446	6.78773986	-6.3522003	
7	100.9	99.70290	97.99941	1.70349678	1.1970962	
8	66.3	71.66980	98.10530	-26.43550367	-5.3698002	
9	101.4	97.02477	98.16943	-1.14465893	4.3752275	
10	111.8	104.26155	98.18289	6.07865327	7.5384530	
11	111.4	109.39049	98.14154	11.24895370	2.0095070	
12	85.2	96.75562	98.04653	-1.29091634	-11.5556186	
13	94.4	97.40501	97.90453	-0.49952276	-3.0050068	
14	96.2	96.91526	97.72738	-0.81211509	-0.7152635	

15	106.5	100.96644	97.53143	3.43500627	5.5335588
16	101.1	90.05066	97.33645	-7.28579348	11.0493435
17	103.5	104.44937	97.16416	7.28521289	-0.9493748
18	99.9	105.44282	97.03668	8.40614645	-5.5428228
19	101.4	99.46136	96.97477	2.48659184	1.9386396
20	58.6	71.70803	96.99624	-25.28820679	-13.1080327
21	99.8	96.04984	97.11446	-1.06462527	3.7501609
22	112.7	102.19482	97.33725	4.85756643	10.5051797
23	103.8	107.12555	97.66613	9.45941951	-3.3255473
24	89.0	94.00170	98.09607	-4.09436928	-5.0016988
25	91.2	97.46393	98.61578	-1.15184687	-6.2639323
26	97.3	99.24689	99.20844	0.03844827	-1.9468916
27	110.2	105.04756	99.85292	5.19463878	5.1524447
28	105.7	98.51657	100.52527	-2.00870413	7.1834300
29	109.9	108.02818	101.20059	6.82759571	1.8718190
30	109.1	107.85836	101.85477	6.00358335	1.2416422
31	104.3	102.81035	102.46642	0.34393236	1.4896472
32	71.9	76.08922	103.01835	-26.92912976	-4.1892175
33	107.1	103.52228	103.49888	0.02340336	3.5777210
34	108.5	110.84951	103.90263	6.94687412	-2.3495083
35	116.6	114.83069	104.23086	10.59982501	1.7693132
36	96.5	99.39304	104.49118	-5.09813349	-2.8930440
37	94.1	103.06947	104.69684	-1.62736211	-8.9694749
38	102.4	104.34413	104.86554	-0.52140933	-1.9441286
39	109.4	110.07981	105.01787	5.06193809	-0.6798122
40	109.0	101.84233	105.17557	-3.33323918	7.1576705
41	113.3	112.03970	105.35963	6.68006277	1.2603027
42	116.5	112.15094	105.58862	6.56232285	4.3490613
43	107.9	107.41395	105.87706	1.53689274	0.4860483
44	76.7	81.77292	106.23434	-24.46141633	-5.0729241
45	111.0	107.63378	106.66395	0.96983306	3.3662191
46	109.3	113.92829	107.16327	6.76501511	-4.6282890
47	119.5	116.32513	107.72393	8.60120036	3.1748653
48	95.1	99.40556	108.33258	-8.92701559	-4.3055647
49	109.6	106.21963	108.97213	-2.75250158	3.3803734
50	109.0	109.16600	109.62330	-0.45729990	-0.1659954
51	125.2	117.08243	110.26630	6.81612951	8.1175682
52	104.8	112.79991	110.88259	1.91731829	-7.9999060
53	123.7	118.04147	111.45638	6.58509579	5.6585264
54	119.7	117.07511	111.97597	5.09914608	2.6248879
55	105.4	112.10797	112.43458	-0.32661704	-6.7079678
56	84.1	87.35960	112.83078	-25.47118373	-3.2595972
57	112.1	114.54919	113.16826	1.38092862	-2.4491852
58	121.6	120.88790	113.45518	7.43271681	0.7121027
59	120.0	122.99230	113.70302	9.28927264	-2.9922964
60	98.6	104.20938	113.92502	-9.71563544	-5.6093825

61	117.6	111.79284	114.13437	-2.34153092	5.8071607
62	117.7	114.72132	114.34239	0.37892915	2.9786770
63	129.7	121.36551	114.55673	6.80877853	8.3344947
64	111.8	114.54055	114.77981	-0.23925106	-2.7405544
65	125.2	120.56175	115.00775	5.55400369	4.6382491
66	121.2	119.68861	115.22976	4.45884810	1.5113907
67	116.8	116.38219	115.42817	0.95402144	0.4178087
68	88.2	93.24652	115.57907	-22.33254875	-5.0465220
69	113.7	118.67409	115.65362	3.02047750	-4.9740947
70	129.0	123.97751	115.61985	8.35766771	5.0224866
71	121.7	122.91386	115.44493	7.46893371	-1.2138609
72	94.4	101.36048	115.09768	-13.73720788	-6.9604758
73	110.3	110.75427	114.55118	-3.79691526	-0.4542684
74	115.3	113.32361	113.78522	-0.46161162	1.9763926
75	112.9	120.91764	112.78847	8.12917310	-8.0176401
76	122.4	115.72389	111.56016	4.16372273	6.6761142
77	116.9	115.85997	110.11114	5.74883452	1.0400257
78	111.2	112.54723	108.46413	4.08309514	-1.3472283
79	115.0	106.38179	106.65331	-0.27151871	8.6182073
80	77.1	82.48854	104.72303	-22.23449808	-5.3885367
81	106.3	105.53320	102.72591	2.80728628	0.7667995
82	115.9	108.27784	100.72029	7.55755537	7.6221576
83	106.7	106.24824	98.76727	7.48097123	0.4517578
84	83.0	82.33012	96.92759	-14.59747024	0.6698806
85	92.2	92.63852	95.25838	-2.61986214	-0.4385154
86	94.3	95.49209	93.81018	1.68191786	-1.1920930
87	96.7	101.06955	92.62431	8.44524186	-4.3695534
88	87.2	93.40126	91.73084	1.67042059	-6.2012597
89	91.0	95.23110	91.14715	4.08395364	-4.2311041
90	91.0	93.29495	90.87735	2.41759705	-2.2949496
91	95.3	91.72655	90.91242	0.81412180	3.5734547
92	70.2	72.07206	91.23112	-19.15905997	-1.8720608
93	98.3	96.61414	91.80156	4.81258031	1.6858600
94	106.9	101.96131	92.58334	9.37796982	4.9386941
95	103.4	99.69841	93.53004	6.16837876	3.7015860
96	86.8	76.60694	94.59195	-17.98501055	10.1930618
97	90.5	91.58205	95.71881	-4.13675936	-1.0820531
98	91.4	96.96138	96.86239	0.09899271	-5.5613815
99	107.7	106.99817	97.97873	9.01943438	0.7018309
100	100.6	103.57335	99.03003	4.54332522	-2.9733542
101	101.9	104.37547	99.98587	4.38960428	-2.4754737
102	105.8	103.81416	100.82400	2.99016015	1.9858428
103	101.5	101.98200	101.53045	0.45155513	-0.4820018
104	75.4	84.47356	102.09916	-17.62560394	-9.0735609
105	101.4	106.70060	102.53117	4.16943068	-5.3006046
106	109.1	110.21571	102.83339	7.38232542	-1.1157133



107	115.8	108.43199	103.01720	5.41478792	7.3680103
108	98.9	83.96262	103.09698	-19.13435982	14.9373752
109	97.6	100.61337	103.08860	-2.47523096	-3.0133704
110	102.7	106.15524	103.00807	3.14716486	-3.4552370
111	113.2	112.60908	102.87046	9.73861680	0.5909240
112	104.3	104.86051	102.68904	2.17147273	-0.5605105
113	107.6	104.94073	102.47478	2.46594897	2.6592679
114	103.5	102.97034	102.23617	0.73417598	0.5296567
115	97.9	103.13771	101.97924	1.15847160	-5.2377101
116	86.3	86.43621	101.70793	-15.27171205	-0.1362149
117	108.4	107.54872	101.42451	6.12421069	0.8512800
118	103.5	110.78491	101.13015	9.65475735	-7.2849120
119	103.5	105.63306	100.82547	4.80758534	-2.1330585
120	89.0	79.26858	100.51100	-21.24242208	9.7314196
121	94.5	96.46301	100.18757	-3.72456119	-1.9630133
122	97.7	101.07087	99.85653	1.21434090	-3.3708709
123	112.9	108.95707	99.51977	9.43730023	3.9429308
124	97.6	102.29885	99.17964	3.11920139	-4.6988451
125	111.6	101.49461	98.83873	2.65588053	10.1053939
126	103.8	100.38433	98.49949	1.88483843	3.4156730
127	97.3	99.86119	98.16396	1.69722583	-2.5611893
128	86.6	85.59797	97.83342	-12.23544906	1.0020291
129	94.7	102.83054	97.50813	5.32241116	-8.1305408
130	100.3	104.16297	97.18725	6.97572081	-3.8629726
131	95.4	100.23458	96.86887	3.36570557	-4.8345776
132	85.4	73.81507	96.55020	-22.73512912	11.5849294
133	96.3	94.28154	96.22792	-1.94637911	2.0184633
134	94.5	100.44664	95.89864	4.54799625	-5.9466357
135	98.1	106.05061	95.55947	10.49114763	-7.9506146
136	105.0	96.38455	95.20852	1.17602640	8.6154493
137	101.0	95.73162	94.84547	0.88615421	5.2683754
138	98.8	94.09821	94.47189	-0.37367330	4.7017869
139	91.5	96.06831	94.09150	1.97681574	-4.5683142
140	80.5	82.65898	93.71019	-11.05121750	-2.1589750
141	94.6	100.14628	93.33580	6.81048107	-5.5462812
142	100.6	102.10782	92.97766	9.13016622	-1.5078238
143	91.8	96.13222	92.64596	3.48625204	-4.3322152
144	82.1	69.11409	92.35098	-23.23689059	12.9859105
145	91.8	89.46843	92.10215	-2.63372390	2.3315733
146	92.6	94.67246	91.90720	2.76525664	-2.0724572
147	100.1	101.14934	91.77132	9.37802112	-1.0493402
148	95.4	91.86183	91.69650	0.16533569	3.5381669

\$fitted

	TDST_fitted	TD_fitted	ST_fitted
1	92.42839	91.68110	0.7472933

2	92.54072	91.68386	0.8568575
3	94.98286	91.73156	3.2513002
4	85.06135	91.81205	-6.7507037
5	98.02203	91.91090	6.1111293
6	98.39431	92.01245	6.3818529

### **Bibliography**

Chatfield, Cris (2004). "The Analysis of Time Series: An Introduction (6th edn.)", 2004. CRC Press

Engle, Robert F. (1974), "Band Spectrum Regression", International Economic Review 15,1-11.

Hannan, E.J. (1963), "Regression for Time Series", in Rosenblatt, M. (ed.), Time Series Analysis, New York, John Wiley.

Harvey, A.C. (1978), "Linear Regression in the Frequency Domain", International Economic Review, 19, 507-512.

Wilson, P.J. and Perry, L.J. (2004). "Forecasting Australian Unemployment Rates Using Spectral Analysis" Australian Journal of Labour Economics, vol 7,no 4, December 2004, pp 459-480.

## Appendix

The multiplication of two harmonic series of different frequency:

$$[a_j \cos(\omega_j) + b_j \sin(\omega_j)]x[a_i \cos(\omega_i) + b_i \sin(\omega_i)]$$

gives the following sum:

$$a_j a_i \cos(\omega_j) \cos(\omega_i) + a_j b_i \cos(\omega_j) \sin(\omega_i)$$

$$+ a_i b_j \sin(\omega_j) \cos(\omega_i) + b_j b_i \sin(\omega_j) \sin(\omega_i)$$

that using the identity of the products of sines and cosines gives the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_j a_i + b_j b_i}{2} \cos(\omega_j - \omega_i) + \frac{b_j a_i - b_j a_i}{2} \sin(\omega_j - \omega_i) \\ & + \frac{a_j a_i - b_j b_i}{2} \cos(\omega_j + \omega_i) + \frac{b_j a_i + b_j a_i}{2} \sin(\omega_j + \omega_i) \end{aligned}$$

The circularity of  $\omega$  determines that the product of two harmonics series resulting in a new series in which the Fourier coefficients it's a linear combination of the Fourier coefficients of the two harmonics series.

In the following two series:

$$y_t = \eta^y + a_0^y \cos(\omega_0) + b_0^y \sin(\omega_0) + a_1^y \cos(\omega_1) + b_1^y \sin(\omega_1) + a_2^y \cos(\omega_2) + b_2^y \sin(\omega_2) + a_3^y \cos(\omega_3)$$

$$x_t = \eta^x + a_0^x \cos(\omega_0) + b_0^x \sin(\omega_0) + a_1^x \cos(\omega_1) + b_1^x \sin(\omega_1) + a_2^x \cos(\omega_2) + b_2^x \sin(\omega_2) + a_3^x \cos(\omega_3)$$

given a matrix  $\Theta^{\dot{x}\dot{x}}$  of size 8x8 :

$$\Theta^{\dot{x}\dot{x}} = \eta^x I_8 + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_0^x & b_0^x & a_1^x & b_1^x & a_2^x & b_2^x & 2a_3^x \\ 2a_0^x & a_1^x & b_1^x & a_0^x + a_2^x & b_0^x + b_2^x & a_1^x + 2a_3^x & b_1^x & 2a_2^x \\ 2b_0^x & b_1^x & -a_1^x & -b_0^x + b_2^x & a_0^x - a_2^x & -b_1^x & a_1^x - a_3^x & -2b_2^x \\ 2a_1^x & a_0^x + a_2^x & -b_0^x + b_2^x & 2a_3^x & 0 & a_0^x + a_2^x & b_0^x - b_2^x & 2a_1^x \\ 2b_1^x & a_0^x + b_2^x & -b_0^x - a_2^x & 0 & -2a_3^x & -b_0^x + b_2^x & a_0^x - a_2^x & -2b_1^x \\ 2a_2^x & a_1^x + 2a_3^x & -b_1^x & a_0^x + a_2^x & -b_0^x - b_2^x & a_1^x & -b_1^x & 2a_0^x \\ 2b_2^x & b_1^x & a_1^x - 2a_3^x & b_0^x - b_2^x & a_0^x - a_2^x & -b_1^x & -a_1^x & -2b_0^x \\ 2a_3^x & a_2^x & -b_2^x & a_1^x & -b_1^x & a_0^x & -b_0^x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Demonstrates that:

$$\dot{z} = \Theta^{\dot{x}\dot{x}} \dot{y}$$

where  $\dot{y} = W y, \dot{x} = W x$ , and  $\dot{z} = W z$ .

$$z_t = x_t y_t = W^T \dot{x} W^T \dot{y} = W^T W x_t W^T \dot{y} = x_t I_n W^T \dot{y}$$

$$W^T \dot{z} = x_t I_n W^T \dot{y}$$

$$\dot{z} = W^T x_t I_n W \dot{y}$$

It is true that;

$$x_t I_n = W^T \Theta^{\dot{x}\dot{x}} W$$

and

$$\Theta^{\dot{x}\dot{x}} = W^T x_t I_n W$$