

Creating Neighbours*

Roger Bivand

January 7, 2015

1 Introduction

Creating spatial weights is a necessary step in using areal data, perhaps just to check that there is no remaining spatial patterning in residuals. The first step is to define which relationships between observations are to be given a non-zero weight, that is to choose the neighbour criterion to be used; the second is to assign weights to the identified neighbour links.

The 281 census tract data set for eight central New York State counties featured prominently in Waller and Gotway (2004) will be used in many of the examples,¹ supplemented with tract boundaries derived from TIGER 1992 and distributed by SEDAC/CIESIN. This file is not identical with the boundaries used in the original source, but is very close and may be re-distributed, unlike the version used in the book. Starting from the census tract queen contiguities, where all touching polygons are neighbours, used in Waller and Gotway (2004) and provided as a DBF file on their website, a GAL format file has been created and read into R.

```
> library(maptools)
> owd <- getwd()
> setwd(system.file("etc/shapes", package = "spdep"))
> NY8 <- readShapeSpatial("NY8_utm18")
> library(spdep)
> setwd(system.file("etc/weights", package = "spdep"))
> NY_nb <- read.gal("NY_nb.gal", region.id = row.names(NY8))
> setwd(owd)
```

For the sake of simplicity in showing how to create neighbour objects, we work on a subset of the map consisting of the census tracts within Syracuse, although the same principles apply to the full data set. We retrieve the part of the neighbour list in Syracuse using the subset method.

```
> Syracuse <- NY8[NY8$AREANAME == "Syracuse city", ]
> Sy0_nb <- subset(NY_nb, NY8$AREANAME == "Syracuse city")
> summary(Sy0_nb)
```

```
Neighbour list object:
Number of regions: 63
Number of nonzero links: 346
Percentage nonzero weights: 8.717561
```

*This vignette formed pp. 239–251 of the first edition of Bivand, R. S., Pebesma, E. and Gómez-Rubio V. (2008) *Applied Spatial Data Analysis with R*, Springer-Verlag, New York. It was retired from the second edition (2013) to accommodate material on other topics, and is made available in this form with the understanding of the publishers.

¹The boundaries have been projected from geographical coordinates to UTM zone 18.

```
Average number of links: 5.492063
Link number distribution:
```

```
 1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9
1  1  5  9 14 17  9  6  1
1 least connected region:
164 with 1 link
1 most connected region:
136 with 9 links
```

2 Creating Contiguity Neighbours

We can create a copy of the same neighbours object for polygon contiguities using the `poly2nb` function in **spdep**. It takes an object extending the `SpatialPolygons` class as its first argument, and using heuristics identifies polygons sharing boundary points as neighbours. It also has a `snap` argument, to allow the shared boundary points to be a short distance from one another.

```
> class(Syracuse)
[1] "SpatialPolygonsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"
> Sy1_nb <- poly2nb(Syracuse)
> isTRUE(all.equal(Sy0_nb, Sy1_nb, check.attributes = FALSE))
[1] TRUE
```

As we can see, creating the contiguity neighbours from the `Syracuse` object reproduces the neighbours from Waller and Gotway (2004). Careful examination of Fig. ?? shows, however, that the graph of neighbours is not planar, since some neighbour links cross each other. By default, the contiguity condition is met when at least one point on the boundary of one polygon is within the snap distance of at least one point of its neighbour. This relationship is given by the argument `queen=TRUE` by analogy with movements on a chessboard. So when three or more polygons meet at a single point, they all meet the contiguity condition, giving rise to crossed links. If `queen=FALSE`, at least two boundary points must be within the snap distance of each other, with the conventional name of a ‘rook’ relationship. Figure 1 shows the crossed line differences that arise when polygons touch only at a single point, compared to the stricter rook criterion.

```
> Sy2_nb <- poly2nb(Syracuse, queen = FALSE)
> isTRUE(all.equal(Sy0_nb, Sy2_nb, check.attributes = FALSE))
[1] FALSE
```

If we have access to a GIS such as GRASS or ArcGIS™, we can export the `SpatialPolygonsDataFrame` object and use the topology engine in the GIS to find contiguities in the graph of polygon edges – a shared edge will yield the same output as the rook relationship.

This procedure does, however, depend on the topology of the set of polygons being clean, which holds for this subset, but not for the full eight-county data set. Not infrequently, there are small artefacts, such as slivers where boundary lines intersect or diverge by distances that cannot be seen on plots, but which require intervention to keep the geometries and data correctly associated. When these geometrical artefacts are present, the topology is not clean, because unambiguous shared polygon boundaries cannot be found in all cases; artefacts typically arise when data collected for one




Figure 1: (a) Queen-style census tract contiguities, Syracuse; (b) Rook-style contiguity differences shown as thicker lines

purpose are combined with other data or used for another purpose. Topologies are usually cleaned in a GIS by ‘snapping’ vertices closer than a threshold distance together, removing artefacts – for example, snapping across a river channel where the correct boundary is the median line but the input polygons stop at the channel banks on each side. The `poly2nb` function does have a `snap` argument, which may also be used when input data possess geometrical artefacts.

```
> library(spgrass6)
> writeVECT6(Syracuse, "SY0")
> contig <- vect2neigh("SY0")

> Sy3_nb <- sn2listw(contig)$neighbours
> isTRUE(all.equal(Sy3_nb, Sy2_nb, check.attributes = FALSE))
```

Similar approaches may also be used to read ArcGIS™ coverage data by tallying the left neighbour and right neighbour arc indices with the polygons in the data set, using either **RArcInfo** or **rgdal**.

In our Syracuse case, there are no exclaves or ‘islands’ belonging to the data set, but not sharing boundary points within the snap distance. If the number of polygons is moderate, the missing neighbour links may be added interactively using the `edit` method for `nb` objects, and displaying the polygon background. The same method may be used for removing links which, although contiguity exists, may be considered void, such as across a mountain range.

3 Creating Graph-Based Neighbours

Continuing with irregularly located areal entities, it is possible to choose a point to represent the polygon-support entities. This is often the polygon centroid, which is not the average of the coordinates in each dimension, but takes proper care to weight the component triangles of the polygon by area. It is also possible to use other points, or if data are available, construct, for example population-weighted centroids. Once representative points are available, the criteria for neighbourhood can be extended from just contiguity to include graph measures, distance thresholds, and k -nearest neighbours.




Figure 2: **(a)** Delaunay triangulation neighbours; **(b)** Sphere of influence neighbours; **(c)** Gabriel graph neighbours; **(d)** Relative graph neighbours

The most direct graph representation of neighbours is to make a Delaunay triangulation of the points, shown in the first panel in Fig. 2. The neighbour relationships are defined by the triangulation, which extends outwards to the convex hull of the points and which is planar. Note that graph-based representations construct the interpoint relationships based on Euclidean distance, with no option to use Great Circle distances for geographical coordinates. Because it joins distant points around the convex hull, it may be worthwhile to thin the triangulation as a Sphere of Influence (SOI) graph, removing links that are relatively long. Points are SOI neighbours if circles centred on the points, of radius equal to the points' nearest neighbour distances, intersect in two places (Avis and Horton, 1985).²

```
> coords <- coordinates(Syracuse)
> IDs <- row.names(as(Syracuse, "data.frame"))
> Sy4_nb <- tri2nb(coords, row.names = IDs)
> Sy5_nb <- graph2nb(soi.graph(Sy4_nb, coords), row.names = IDs)
> Sy6_nb <- graph2nb(gabrielneigh(coords), row.names = IDs)
> Sy7_nb <- graph2nb(relativeneigh(coords), row.names = IDs)
```

Delaunay triangulation neighbours and SOI neighbours are symmetric by design – if i is a neighbour of j , then j is a neighbour of i . The Gabriel graph is also a sub-graph of the Delaunay triangulation, retaining a different set of neighbours (Matula and Sokal, 1980). It does not, however, guarantee symmetry; the same applies to Relative graph neighbours (Toussaint, 1980). The `graph2nb` function takes a `sym` argument to insert links to restore symmetry, but the graphs then no longer exactly fulfil their neighbour criteria. All the graph-based neighbour schemes always ensure that all the points will have at least one neighbour. Subgraphs of the full triangulation may also have more than one graph after trimming. The functions `is.symmetric.nb` can be used to check for symmetry, with argument `force=TRUE` if the symmetry attribute is to be overridden, and `n.comp.nb` reports the number of graph components and the components to which points belong (after enforcing symmetry, because the algorithm assumes that the graph is not directed). When there are more than one graph component, the matrix representation of the spatial weights can become block-diagonal if observations are

²Functions for graph-based neighbours were kindly contributed by Nicholas Lewin-Koh.



Figure 3: (a) $k = 1$ neighbours; (b) $k = 2$ neighbours; (c) $k = 4$ neighbours

appropriately sorted.

```
> nb_1 <- list(Triangulation = Sy4_nb, SOI = Sy5_nb, Gabriel = Sy6_nb,
+             Relative = Sy7_nb)
> sapply(nb_1, function(x) is.symmetric.nb(x, verbose = FALSE, force = TRUE))
```

Triangulation	SOI	Gabriel	Relative
TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE

```
> sapply(nb_1, function(x) n.comp.nb(x)$nc)
```

Triangulation	SOI	Gabriel	Relative
1	1	1	1

4 Distance-Based Neighbours

An alternative method is to choose the k nearest points as neighbours – this adapts across the study area, taking account of differences in the densities of areal entities. Naturally, in the overwhelming majority of cases, it leads to asymmetric neighbours, but will ensure that all areas have k neighbours. The `knearneigh` returns an intermediate form converted to an `nb` object by `knn2nb`; `knearneigh` can also take a `longlat` argument to handle geographical coordinates.

```
> Sy8_nb <- knn2nb(knearneigh(coords, k = 1), row.names = IDs)
> Sy9_nb <- knn2nb(knearneigh(coords, k = 2), row.names = IDs)
> Sy10_nb <- knn2nb(knearneigh(coords, k = 4), row.names = IDs)
> nb_1 <- list(k1 = Sy8_nb, k2 = Sy9_nb, k4 = Sy10_nb)
> sapply(nb_1, function(x) is.symmetric.nb(x, verbose = FALSE, force = TRUE))
```

k1	k2	k4
FALSE	FALSE	FALSE

```
> sapply(nb_1, function(x) n.comp.nb(x)$nc)
```

k1	k2	k4
15	1	1

Figure 3 shows the neighbour relationships for $k = 1, 2, 4$, with many components for $k = 1$. If need be, k -nearest neighbour objects can be made symmetrical using the `make.sym.nb` function. The $k = 1$ object is also useful in finding the minimum distance



Figure 4: (a) Neighbours within 1,158 m; (b) neighbours within 1,545 m; (c) neighbours within 2,317 m

at which all areas have a distance-based neighbour. Using the `nbdists` function, we can calculate a list of vectors of distances corresponding to the neighbour object, here for first nearest neighbours. The greatest value will be the minimum distance needed to make sure that all the areas are linked to at least one neighbour. The `dnearneigh` function is used to find neighbours with an interpoint distance, with arguments `d1` and `d2` setting the lower and upper distance bounds; it can also take a `longlat` argument to handle geographical coordinates.

```
> dsts <- unlist(nbdists(Sy8_nb, coords))
> summary(dsts)
   Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
 395.7  587.3   700.1   760.4  906.1  1545.0

> max_1nn <- max(dsts)
> max_1nn
[1] 1544.615

> Sy11_nb <- dnearneigh(coords, d1 = 0, d2 = 0.75 * max_1nn, row.names = IDs)
> Sy12_nb <- dnearneigh(coords, d1 = 0, d2 = 1 * max_1nn, row.names = IDs)
> Sy13_nb <- dnearneigh(coords, d1 = 0, d2 = 1.5 * max_1nn, row.names = IDs)
> nb_1 <- list(d1 = Sy11_nb, d2 = Sy12_nb, d3 = Sy13_nb)
> sapply(nb_1, function(x) is.symmetric.nb(x, verbose = FALSE, force = TRUE))
      d1  d2  d3
TRUE TRUE TRUE

> sapply(nb_1, function(x) n.comp.nb(x)$nc)
d1 d2 d3
4  1  1
```

Figure 4 shows how the numbers of distance-based neighbours increase with moderate increases in distance. Moving from 0.75 times the minimum all-included distance, to the all-included distance, and 1.5 times the minimum all-included distance, the numbers of links grow rapidly. This is a major problem when some of the first nearest neighbour distances in a study area are much larger than others, since to avoid no-neighbour areal entities, the distance criterion will need to be set such that many areas have many neighbours. Figure 5 shows the counts of sizes of sets of neighbours for



Figure 5: Distance-based neighbours: frequencies of numbers of neighbours by census tract

the three different distance limits. In Syracuse, the census tracts are of similar areas, but were we to try to use the distance-based neighbour criterion on the eight-county study area, the smallest distance securing at least one neighbour for every areal entity is over 38 km.

```
> dsts0 <- unlist(nbdists(NY_nb, coordinates(NY8)))
> summary(dsts0)
```

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
82.7	1505.0	3379.0	5866.0	8954.0	38440.0

If the areal entities are approximately regularly spaced, using distance-based neighbours is not necessarily a problem. Provided that care is taken to handle the side effects of “weighting” areas out of the analysis, using lists of neighbours with no-neighbour areas is not necessarily a problem either, but certainly ought to raise questions. Different disciplines handle the definition of neighbours in their own ways by convention; in particular, it seems that ecologists frequently use distance bands. If many distance bands are used, they approach the variogram, although the underlying understanding of spatial autocorrelation seems to be by contagion rather than continuous.

5 Higher-Order Neighbours

Distance bands can be generated by using a sequence of `d1` and `d2` argument values for the `dnearest` function if needed to construct a spatial autocorrelogram as understood in ecology. In other conventions, correlograms are constructed by taking an input list of neighbours as the first-order sets, and stepping out across the graph to second-, third-, and higher-order neighbours based on the number of links traversed, but not permitting cycles, which could risk making i a neighbour of i itself (O’Sullivan and Unwin, 2003, p. 203). The `nblag` function takes an existing neighbour list and returns a list of lists, from first to `maxlag` order neighbours.

```
> Sy0_nb_lags <- nblag(Sy0_nb, maxlag = 9)
y
```

Table 1 shows how the wave of connectedness in the graph spreads to the third order, receding to the eighth order, and dying away at the ninth order – there are no tracts nine steps from each other in this graph. Both the distance bands and the graph step order approaches to spreading neighbourhoods can be used to examine the shape of relationship intensities in space, like the variogram, and can be used in attempting to look at the effects of scale.

6 Grid Neighbours

When the data are known to be arranged in a regular, rectangular grid, the `cell2nb` function can be used to construct neighbour lists, including those on a torus. These are useful for simulations, because, since all areal entities have equal numbers of neighbours, and there are no edges, the structure of the graph is as neutral as can be achieved. Neighbours can either be of type rook or queen.

```
> cell2nb(7, 7, type = "rook", torus = TRUE)

Neighbour list object:
Number of regions: 49
Number of nonzero links: 196
Percentage nonzero weights: 8.163265
Average number of links: 4

> cell2nb(7, 7, type = "rook", torus = FALSE)

Neighbour list object:
Number of regions: 49
Number of nonzero links: 168
Percentage nonzero weights: 6.997085
Average number of links: 3.428571
```

Table 1: Higher-order contiguities: frequencies of numbers of neighbours by order of neighbour list

	first	second	third	fourth	fifth	sixth	seventh	eighth	ninth
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	21	49	63
1	1	0	0	0	0	3	7	6	0
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0
3	5	0	0	0	1	2	5	2	0
4	9	2	0	0	1	8	9	1	0
5	14	2	0	0	3	2	7	0	0
6	17	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0
7	9	6	1	0	1	5	5	0	0
8	6	6	3	1	3	4	1	0	0
9	1	11	5	3	7	8	0	0	0
10	0	11	5	5	13	9	0	0	0
11	0	4	7	7	12	5	0	0	0
12	0	3	14	16	8	5	1	0	0
13	0	7	6	16	9	1	0	0	0
14	0	4	8	5	3	0	0	0	0
15	0	6	3	3	1	0	0	0	0
16	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

When a regular, rectangular grid is not complete, then we can use knowledge of the cell size stored in the grid topology to create an appropriate list of neighbours, using a tightly bounded distance criterion. Neighbour lists of this kind are commonly found in ecological assays, such as studies of species richness at a national or continental scale. It is also in these settings, with moderately large n , here $n = 3,103$, that the use of a sparse, list based representation shows its strength. Handling a 281×281 matrix for the eight-county census tracts is feasible, easy for a 63×63 matrix for Syracuse census tracts, but demanding for a $3,103 \times 3,103$ matrix.

```
> data(meuse.grid)
> coordinates(meuse.grid) <- c("x", "y")
> gridded(meuse.grid) <- TRUE
> dst <- max(slot(slot(meuse.grid, "grid"), "cellsize"))
> mg_nb <- dnearneigh(coordinates(meuse.grid), 0, dst)
> mg_nb

Neighbour list object:
Number of regions: 3103
Number of nonzero links: 12022
Percentage nonzero weights: 0.1248571
Average number of links: 3.874315

> table(card(mg_nb))
  1    2    3    4
1 133 121 2848
```

References

- Avis, D. and Horton, J. (1985). Remarks on the sphere of influence graph. In Goodman, J. E., editor, *Discrete Geometry and Convexity*. New York Academy of Sciences, New York, pp 323–327.
- Matula, D. W. and Sokal, R. R. (1980). Properties of Gabriel graphs relevant to geographic variation research and the clustering of points in the plane. *Geographic Analysis*, 12:205–222.
- O’Sullivan, D. and Unwin, D. J. (2003). *Geographical Information Analysis*. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.
- Toussaint, G. T. (1980). The relative neighborhood graph of a finite planar set. *Pattern Recognition*, 12:261–268.
- Waller, L. A. and Gotway, C. A. (2004). *Applied Spatial Statistics for Public Health Data*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.